## Facebook and Psychology: Use and Misuse of Social Networks



### Matthew T. Kincheloe, David Weed, & Caleb W. Lack, Ph.D.

#### Introduction

Social networking venues have taken root in this generation and have revolutionized the way that personal interactions take shape. These websites allow people to easily post photographs, videos, and other information online to share with others, usually at little or no cost. Popular examples include MySpace, Twitter, and Bebo. One of the most used social networking sites is Facebook, which boasts a following of more than 300 million registered users. The extreme ease with which anyone can obtain an online profile begs the question as to what type of materials are being laid out for the world to see.

Past research has been conducted on the types of people who frequent social networking sites (Hargittal, 2008) and found that the majority of users are college students who live at home. Other work (Ruffardi, & Campbell, 2008) found that the types of materials on a personal profile and the level of activity by the user could be factors that indicate a high level of narcissism. Narcissistic tendencies may increase the level of personal disclosure and unprofessional content.

Hypotheses for the study were that a) the majority of students would have a Facebook account; b) most students with an account would not restrict access to it; and c) a minority of students would have content of a questionable or possibly negative pature.

#### Method

#### Procedure

Given the paucity of information on the content sharing habits of college students in general, and those in psychology specifically, this study was designed to examine the level of privacy that individuals on Facebook attain through options that allow for customizable privacy. Unlike other social networks, Facebook requires that the individuals register using both first and last names. People in the same network, such as a particular city or university, are allowed to view items on other network member's profiles that are not private. Therefore, individuals who do not set their profile as private open their profile to be viewed by any member of their network, whether they know the person or not.

The names of all undergraduate psychology majors at the University of Central Oklahoma (n = 566) were collected from a public database available through enrollment services. Using personally created Facebook accounts, study authors (M.K. and D.W.) then systematically searched each participant for a profile using their first and last names. It was determined if the participant did or did not have a Facebook account, and whether it was set as public or private. For public profiles, the following variables were observed and noted as either present or absent; birthday, hometown, relationship status, political views, religious views, sexual orientation, personal photograph, field of study, home address, email address. instant messenger (IM) address, number of friends, number of photo albums, and the number of social groups. Afterwards, each public profile was examined for the presence of unprofessional content, that which could be seen as possibly offensive. To do this, the recent activity on each person's "wall" (the front page of a profile that shows recent status updates, comments, and other activity) was checked for presence of profanity, sexism, and racism. Finally, each photo was scanned for evidence of overt sexuality, alcohol use, drug use, sexism, or racism.

# facebook.

#### Results

#### Use of Social Networking

Of the 566 undergraduate psychology majors, 50% (n = 283) had existing personally created profiles at the time of the data collection. Of those people who had an active Facebook account, 47.7% (n = 135) allowed their personal profiles to be viewed by anyone in their particular network and by any of their friends. All of the information presented below was obtained from those 135 public profiles. Females were much more heavily represented in this sample (68.9%), consistent with the higher numbers of females majoring in psychology in the United States.

Table 1
Descriptive information obtained from public Facebook profiles.

Personal information revealed	Total (n = 135)		
Birthday	93.3%	95.0%	90.0%
Hometown	65.9%	68.0%	62.0%
Relationship status	82.2%	86.0%	74.0%
Political views	45.9%	44.0%	50.0%
Religious views	57.8%	58.0%	57.0%
Sexual orientation	68.1%	68.0%	69.0%
Personal photograph	95.6%	97.0%	93.0%
Field of study	49.6%	49.0%	50.0%
Home address	7.4%	2.0%	19.0%
Email address	38.5%	33.0%	50.0%
IM address	24.4%	23.0%	29.0%
Mean # friends (Range)	301.9 (0-1715)	323.5 (0-1715)	238.1 (0-1644)
Mean # photo albums (Range)	6.7 (0-70)	8.5 (0-33)	2.9 (0-70)
Mean # social groups (Range)	22.6 (0-160)	24.8 (0-68)	17.9 (0-160)

#### Results (cont.)

#### Gender Differences

In order to examine the possible gender differences in the level of privacy, a series of one-way ANOVAs were used. The only difference in the area of unprofessional content was presence of racist material as the only participants who were found to have racist content on their page were males (n = 4). Other significant differences found included the fact that males revealed their home address more often than females (F[1, 134] = 13.02, p < .05) and that females had significantly more photo albums than males (F[1, 134] = 8.10, p < .05).

#### Qualitative Analyses

For each of the students that had a publically accessible Facebook account (n = 135) a qualitative analysis was performed. Each profile was scrutinized for content that could be seen as unprofessional or inappropriate. This is important because future employers, clients, and graduate school admissions boards all have the opportunity of viewing these public profiles, and recent surveys have found that many do so. Any reference or visual representation of alcohol use or drug use was collected, along with evidence of overt sexuality, racism, sexism, and profanity. Of the 135 students who had public profiles, 46.6% had questionable content on their profile (see Table 2).

Table 2

Presence of questionabl	a contant on	public Eacobook	profiles

Questionable content	Total (n=135)	Females (n=93)	Males (n=42)
Alcohol use	35.6%	39.0%	29.0%
Drug use	3.0%	3.0%	2.0%
Overt sexuality	41.5%	43.0%	43.0%
Profanity	11.9%	14.0%	22.0%
Racism	3.0%	0.0%	13.0%
Sexism	4.4%	4.0%	6.0%





#### Discussion

With the surge of social networking sites it is easier than ever for students to reveal personal information to their friends and family. Unfortunately, it is just as easy for other individuals to search for people on their own network that have their profile set to public. Therefore, it is more essential than ever that people realize that their online information is not necessarily private. Precautions must be used to keep prying eyes at bay. These precautions include privacy settings that are available through options inside Facebook and most other sites and more selective "friending" – granting others access to your profile and, consequently, giving you access to theirs.

It is natural for people, particularly undergraduates who are not thinking about the future, to post things that, in their minds, are not inappropriate or unprofessional. They dissociate their online selves from their real-world selves. This is not the case however, because it is all too simple for someone to take content from online and bring it into real life context to use any way they see fit. Each and every student must be informed of the possible ramifications for their online unprofessionalism, very possibly in some type of introduction course to the university or the major of psychology.

Please address correspondence regarding this poster to: Caleb W. Lack, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, 307D Education Bldg, Edmond, OK 73034 or clack@uco edu

Presented at the annual meeting of the Southwestern Psychological Association, April, 2010, Dallas, TX